Modelling the Effect of Spatially Varying Flow on the Oscillations of Fine-Scale Magnetic Structures in the Solar Orbiter and DKIST Era





University Of Sheffield.



Science & Technology Facilities Council

Samuel Skirvin¹, Viktor Fedun¹ & Gary Verth²

¹ Plasma Dynamics Group, Department of Automatic Control & Systems Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S1 3JD, United Kingdom

² Plasma Dynamics Group, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sheffield, S3 7RH, United Kingdom

Key Findings:

- 1. A numerical technique is presented based on the shooting method which solves for eigenvalues in any symmetrically non-uniform plasma equilibrium.
- 2. This technique **successfully reproduces** previously obtained analytical results for uniform equilibria in both Cartesian and Cylindrical geometries.
- 3. A number of specific **non-uniform** cases are investigated including inhomogeneous background **density** and background **flow**.
- 4. The observed **spatial structure** of eigenfunctions is changed due to the background inhomogeneity with **slow body modes** being the most affected, which may have **implications for observers** analysing high spatial resolution data.



DKIST will provide much greater understanding of features observed in the solar atmosphere and the MHD waves propagating within.



Step 1:

Breakdown initial domain by seed samples on dispersion diagram – considering real frequencies and wavenumbers.

Step 2: Iterate through seed points calculating \hat{P}_T and \hat{v}_x ($\hat{\xi}_r$) for each ω , k pair.

Require \widehat{P}_T , \widehat{v}_x , $\widehat{v}_x' << 1$ far away from boundary – use shooting method to solve ODE.

NUMERICAL METHOD



Step 3:

Locate point where difference between internal boundary value and external boundary value changes sign.

In plots this happens as we pass a red cross which shows known analytical solution to dispersion relation

Step 4:

Go back to previous sample, narrowing step size and repeat process until difference at boundary becomes very small.

Step 5:

Store values for ω,k and move onto next main sample **repeating** same process.



(Below): **Dispersion diagrams** for each case of Gaussian density.



NON-UNIFORM MAGNETIC SLAB

Non-uniform magnetic slab – let's consider a Gaussian profile in background density.

(Below): Eigenfunctions for **slow body** sausage and kink modes for each case of Gaussian density with consistent colour scheme. **Additional nodes** appear for **larger inhomogeneity**. May be **misinterpreted** as **different wave modes** or overtones by observers.





(Above): Gaussian profiles in density shown by different standard deviations (widths). Uniform slab shown by black profile. Increasing inhomogeneity shown by yellow, green and red curves.





As inhomogeneity of background flow is increased, resulting velocity field becomes more rotational – suggesting vorticity is present.



NON-UNIFORM MAGNETIC CYLINDER

Non-uniform magnetic cylinder – let's consider a Gaussian profile in background plasma flow / density. [Skirvin et al. 2021(b) in prep]

(Right): **Eigenfunctions** including ξ_{φ} for slow body kink mode for Gaussian **background flow**. Similar to non-uniform Gaussian density in magnetic slab, the **spatial structure** is **changed** because of the **non-uniform equilibrium**.



For **velocity** we analyse specific **slow body kink** mode with ka = 2.

(Left): Comparisons of velocity field for cylinder with uniform flow and plasma flow modelled as Gaussian. For non-uniform background – wave propagation increases vorticity.

(**Right**): Snapshot of **velocity field** for equilibrium **non-uniform density**. Boundary of surface becomes **distorted**.

